S&H Form: PTO/SB/05 (12/97)

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No.	1614.1010
First Named Inventor or Application Identifier: Tsuneo SATO et al.	
Express Mail Label No.	

JC675 U.S. PTO 09/441081 11/16/99

APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

ADDRESS TO: **Assistant Commissioner for Patents**
Box Patent Application
Washington, DC 20231

1. [X] Fee Transmittal Form
2. [X] Specification, Claims & Abstract ..... [Total Pages: 39]
3. [X] Drawing(s) *(35 USC 113)* ..... [Total Sheets: 22]
4. [X] Oath or Declaration ..... [Total Pages: 3]
   a. [X] Newly executed (original or copy)
   b. [ ] Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d)) *(for continuation/divisional with Box 17 completed)*
      i. [ ] DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)
      Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).
5. [ ] Incorporation by Reference (usable if Box 4b is checked)
   The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of the oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered as being part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is hereby incorporated by reference therein.
6. [ ] Microfiche Computer Program *(Appendix)*
7. [ ] Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission *(if applicable, all necessary)*
   a. [ ] Computer Readable Copy
   b. [ ] Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
   c. [ ] Statement verifying identity of above copies

JC710 U.S. PTO 11/16/99

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

8. [X] Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
9. [ ] 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement *(when there is an assignee)* [ ] Power of Attorney
10. [ ] English Translation Document *(if applicable)*
11. [X] Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449[X] Copies of IDS Citations
12. [ ] Preliminary Amendment
13. [X] Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503) *(Should be specifically itemized)*
14. [ ] Small Entity Statement(s) [ ] Statement filed in prior application, status still proper and desired.
15. [X] Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) *(if foreign priority is claimed)*
16. [ ] Other:

17. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, *check appropriate box and supply the requisite information:*

[ ] Continuation [ ] Divisional [ ] Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No: ___/_____

18. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
Attn: H. J. Staas
700 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20001

Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

SPECIFICATION

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

BE IT KNOWN THAT WE, Tsuneo Sato, a citizen of Japan residing at Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa, Japan and Kiyoshi Kotegawa, a citizen of Japan residing at Oita-shi, Oita, Japan have invented certain new and useful improvements in

DEVICE AND METHOD FOR USER IDENTIFICATION CHECK BASED ON USER-SPECIFIC FORMULA

of which the following is a specification : -

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

DEVICE AND METHOD FOR USER IDENTIFICATION CHECK BASED ON USER-SPECIFIC FORMULA

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention generally relates to devices and methods for checking identification of users, an IC card for checking identification of the owner of the card, and a memory medium having program recorded therein for checking identification of a user. The present invention particularly relates to a user-identification check method, a user-identification check device, and a user identification check card, which achieve high security without imposing undue burden on users or on a system. The present invention further relates to a memory medium having a program embodied therein for achieving such a user-identification check device.

2. Description of the Related Art

As a result of increasing use of computers in fabric of society, checking user identification based on a computer system has begun to be widely used in various fields relating to information processing. In the event that checking of user identification errs or misuse of user identification is not prevented, ramifications are not only damages on individuals but also widespread confusion in society. Society demands a technology that achieves higher security in checking of user identification.

The scheme most widely used for user-identification check is to let a user to pick and register a pin code such as defined by 4 digits. When a user identification needs to be checked, the user enters his/her pin code, and a check is made as to whether the entered pin code and the registered pin code match. A match indicates that the user is

authorized.

When a pin code is fixed as defined by a series of fixed digits, however, someone who sees a user entering a pin code may be able to pick up the code. This compromises security.

Further, users tend to select a pin code that is easy to remember for them, such as a selected portion of their phone number, the date of birth, the home address, etc. Such a tendency increases a chance of someone correctly guessing your pin number. This is also a factor to compromise security.

In order to obviate the drawbacks described above, Japanese Patent Laid-open Application No. 63-170764 teaches a system in which a user registers a formula and a key number. At a time of user-identification check, the system generates a time-dependent variable. A user enters a number that produces the key number when the entered number is inserted into the registered formula. The number entered by the user is compared with a number calculated by the system. If these two numbers match, the user is authorized.

In the user-identification-check system described above, a user registers a formula "x + y" and a key number "$z_0 = 7$", for example. When the system presents a time-dependent variable 3 (= x), a user enters 4 (= y) that satisfies the equation "x + y = 7". Entering such a number proves that the user is an authorized user.

The check of user identification as described above can maintain security even when someone sneakily picks up a number that a user enters. This is because the number that the user enters is not a fixed code such as a pin code. This scheme thus provides higher security.

In this scheme, however, a user needs to remember both the registered formula and the key

number, and to calculate a required number in head. This poses great burden on the part of the user.

Further, the system also bears the burden in that the system needs to store in memory the registered formula and the registered key number for each user. This requires a large memory size.

Accordingly, there is a need for a scheme which can achieve high security without imposing undue burden on users or on the system.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, it is a general object of the present invention to provide a scheme which satisfies the need described above.

It is another and more specific object of the present invention to provide a scheme which can achieve high security without imposing undue burden on users or on the system.

In order to achieve the above objects according to the present invention, a device for checking user identification includes a calculation unit which calculates a check value by applying a user-specific formula to at least one randomly generated number, and a matching unit which checks if the check value matches a user-entered value that is entered by a user in response to said at least one randomly generated number presented to the user.

In the device described above, the random number is presented to the user, and the check value is obtained from the random number and the user-specific formula. Then, the check value is compared with the user-entered value that is entered by the user in response to the random number presented to the user. A match in the comparison indicates that the user is authorized. This device insures high-level security since secrecy of the user-specific formula is maintained even when someone surreptitiously picks up

the number entered by the user.

Moreover, the user needs to remember only his/her user-specific formula and nothing else. Likewise, the system needs to store only a formula for each user. High-level security is thus achieved without imposing excessive burden on the user or on the system.

Other objects and further features of the present invention will be apparent from the following detailed description when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig.1 is a block diagram of a user-identification check system according to a principle of the present invention;

Fig.2 is an illustrative drawing showing an example of a computer which implements a user-identification check device of Fig.1;

Fig.3 is a block diagram of an information processing device which implements user-identification check according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig.4 is an illustrative drawing showing an example of identification-check data stored in an identification-check-data storage unit of Fig.3;

Fig.5 is a flowchart of a process of registering a password logic performed by an identification-check-data control unit of Fig.3;

Fig.6 is a flowchart of a process of updating a password logic performed by the identification-check-data control unit;

Figs.7A and 7B is a flowchart of a process of checking user identification performed by an identification-check unit of Fig.3;

Fig.8 is an illustrative drawing showing an example of a password-logic-registration window;

Fig.9 is an illustrative drawing showing an example of a password input window;

Fig.10 is an illustrative drawing of a user-identification check system according to another embodiment of the present invention;

Fig.11 is a flowchart of a process of registering a password logic performed by an interaction unit of Fig.10;

Fig.12 is a flowchart of a process of registering a password logic performed by an identification-check-data control unit of Fig.10;

Figs.13A and 13B is a flowchart of a process of updating a password logic performed by the interaction unit;

Figs.14A and 14B is a flowchart of a process of updating a password logic performed by the identification-check-data control unit;

Fig.15 is a flowchart of a process of checking user identification performed by the interaction unit;

Fig.16 is a flowchart of a process of checking user identification performed by the identification-check unit of Fig.10;

Fig.17 is an illustrative drawing of a user-identificatin-check system utilizing a user-identification-check card according to the present invention; and

Figs.18A and 18B are a flowchart of a process performed by a card-identification-check unit of Fig.17 when checking user identification by use of a card.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In the following, a principle and embodiments of the present invention will be described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

Fig.1 is a block diagram of a user-identification check system according to a principle of

the present invention.

In Fig.1, a user-identification check device 1 performs a process of checking user identification. A terminal 2 is provided for the user-identification check device 1, and provides a user with a means to interact with the user-identification check device 1.

The user-identification check device 1 according to the present invention includes a control-data unit 10, a registration/updating unit 11, a random-number generating unit 12, a selection unit 13, a calculation unit 14, and a matching unit 15.

The control-data unit 10 keeps correspondences between user IDs and formulas associated with the users. Depending on a user, a series of digits is provided in place of a formula. The registration/updating unit 11 is used for registering or updating formulas in the control-data unit 10. The random-number generating unit 12 generates a series of a predetermined number of random digits (or one digit), and presents the series of random digits to a user.

The selection unit 13 selects a formula corresponding to an indicated user ID from the control data of the control-data unit 10. The calculation unit 14 calculates a number to be used for the identification purpose by using the random number (i.e., the series of random digits) generated by the random-number generating unit 12 and the formula selected by the selection unit 13. The matching unit 15 checks whether a number entered by a user in response to the presentation of the random digits matches the number calculated by the calculation unit 14. A match indicates that the user is authorized.

The functions of the user-identification check device 1 are normally implemented via software programs running on a computer.

Fig.2 is an illustrative drawing showing an

example of a computer which implements the user-identification check device 1.

A computer 100 of Fig.2 includes a CPU 101, a RAM 102, a ROM 103, a MODEM 104, a memory drive 105, an auxiliary memory 106, and a bus 107 connecting these elements together. A user-identification program is stored in a remote storage 108 connected to the modem 104 via a communication line, and/or is stored in a memory medium 109 such as a floppy disk, a CD-ROM, a memory card, or the like. The user-identification program is loaded to the computer 100 from the remote storage 108 via the modem 104 or from the memory medium 109 via the memory drive 105. The loaded program may be stored in the auxiliary memory 106 for subsequent loading to the RAM 102, or may be directly stored in the RAM 102. The CPU 101 executes the user-identification program stored in the RAM 102 by using an available memory space of the RAM 102 as its work area, and performs functions of the registration/updating unit 11, the random-number generating unit 12, the selection unit 13, the calculation unit 14, and the matching unit 15. The auxiliary memory 106 serves as the control-data unit 10. Further, the ROM 103 stores programs therein for controlling basic operations of the computer 100.

Not only the configuration of Fig.1 may be implemented on the computer 100 of Fig.2, but also other configurations of embodiments, which will be described later, may be implemented on a computer such as the computer 100 shown in Fig.2.

With reference to Fig.1 again, the registration/updating unit 11 receives a formula (or a series of digits) entered in the terminal 2, and registers the formula and a relevant user ID as a pair in the control-data unit 10. When there is a request for updating a formula registered in the control-data unit 10, the registration/updating unit 11 receives a

new formula from the terminal 2, and updates an old formula to a new formula. This is performed only when the old formula is entered as a proof of authority to update the formula.

In this manner, through operations of the registration/updating unit 11, the control-data unit 10 keeps correspondences between the user IDs and the formulas (or digits) associated with users.

When a check of user identification is requested with indication of a user ID, the selection unit 13 selects a formula corresponding to the indicated user ID from the control data of the control-data unit 10. In response to the request, also, the random-number generating unit 12 generates a random number, and presents it on the display screen of the terminal 2. The random number is supplied to the calculation unit 14.

In response, the calculation unit 14 calculates a number for the user-identification purpose by referring to the random number generated by the random-number generating unit 12 and the formula selected by the selection unit 13. The matching unit 15 checks whether a number entered in the terminal 2 in response to the presentation of the random number matches the number calculated by the calculation unit 14, thereby checking the identification of the user.

When a series of digits with no calculus operator included therein is selected in place of a formula, the calculation unit 14 outputs the series of digits as it is. This makes it possible to incorporate use of conventional pin numbers in the user-identification check system.

A time-dependent variable such as that which changes from 1 to 12 according to the current month may be included in the formula. In such a case, the calculation unit 14 uses the time-dependent variable and the random number generated by the random-number

generating unit 12 to calculate a number for the identification purpose based on the formula selected by the selection unit 13.

The time-dependent variable may be created in various manners to indicate a time of user identification. That is, it may be created by combining part or all of the year and date (yyyy.mm.dd), time (hh.mm.ss), AM/PM (e.g., AM=0, PM=1), day (e.g., Monday=1, Tuesday=2, and so on).

As described above, the user-identification check device 1 registers formulas associated with users, and presents a generated random number to a user. A user enters a number in response to the presentation of the random number. The user-identification check device 1 checks if the user-entered number matches a number calculated from a selected formula and the generated random number, thereby checking if the user is authorized. This configuration maintains security even when someone surreptitiously picks up a number entered by a user.

When this system is used in a network environment, it is made sure that the formulas associated with users are not sent through the network. This insures higher security than a conventional system where pin numbers need to be sent through the network.

The user-identification check scheme of Japanese Patent Laid-open Application No. 63-170764 as previously described requires a user to remember both a formula and a key number. On the other hand, the present invention requires the user to remember only his/her formula. Further, the scheme of the above document demands that the system store formulas and key numbers in its memory. The present invention, on the other hand, suffice only if the system stores formulas in its memory. The present invention thus provides high security without imposing undue burden on the users or on the system.

Further, according to the present invention, a user-identification-check card may be provided for a user, and stores therein the user's formula. This configuration also achieves high security.

In the following, embodiments of the present invention will be described with the accompanying drawings.

Fig.3 is a block diagram of an information processing device which implements user-identification check according to an embodiment of the present invention.

An information processing device 20 of Fig.3 includes a display unit 21 such as a CRT, an input unit 22 such as a keyboard and a mouse, an identification-check-data storage unit 23, an identification-check-data control unit 24, and an identification-check unit 25. The identification-check-data storage unit 23 stores therein data that is necessary for user-identification check. The identification-check-data control unit 24 attends to registration and updating of the identification-check data stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 23, and is implemented via a program installed through a floppy disk, a communication line, or the like. The identification-check unit 25 performs a user-identification-check process by referring to the identification-check data stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 23, and is implemented via a program installed through a floppy disk, a communication line, or the like.

Fig.4 is an illustrative drawing showing an example of the identification-check data stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 23.

As shown in the figure, the identification-check-data storage unit 23 stores paired user IDs and password logics where the password logics are registered by respective users. Depending on user

preference, a given password logic may be a simple personal identification number.

The password logics generally define formulas, which are applied to random digits generated by the identification-check unit 25. In the example shown in Fig.4, a user having a user ID "000005" registered a password logic that calculates "A-B" when a 4-digit random number ABCD is presented. On the other hand, a user having a user ID "000004" registered a pin code "5348" rather than a formula, so that this pin code is stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 23.

In the example of Fig.4, password logics are shown by using a general form of formula representation for the sake of simplicity. In practice, however, the password logics may be stored by using a special form of representation such as the Reversed Polish Notation.

According to the Reversed Polish Notation, the formulas shown in Fig.4 are represented as follows:

10 x A -> 10A*;
A x A -> AA*;
A ÷ B -> AB/;
A - B -> AB-;
(B-A) + C -> BA-C+; and
((A - B) x 5) ÷ 2 -> AB-5*2/.

Use of such a form of representation makes it more difficult to decipher codes, thereby enhancing level of security.

Fig.5 is a flowchart of a process of registering a password logic performed by the identification-check-data control unit 24.

At a step ST1, upon a request for registration of a password logic, the identification-check-data control unit 24 displays a password-logic-registration window on the display unit 21. Fig.8 is an illustrative drawing showing an example of the password-logic-registration window.

At a step ST2, a user enters a user ID in the password-logic-registration window.

At a step ST3, the user enters a password logic in the password-logic-registration window.

As will be described later in detail, the identification-check unit 25 generates a 4-digit random number ABCD (each digit ranges from 0 to 9). With respect to this random number, a user defines his/her own formula that is to be applied to the four digits of the random number. Here, the user does not have to use each one of the four digits, and is allowed to include parentheses in his/her formula. The identification-check-data control unit 24 receives the user-defined password logic, and registers it. If the user wishes to use a conventional pin code, the user simply enters a pin code comprised of four digits. The identification-check-data control unit 24 then registers this pin code.

At a step ST4, a check is made as to whether the user operates an END button (i.e., a button for finishing a registration process). If a CANCEL button is operated, the procedure comes to an end. If the END button is operated, the procedure goes to a step ST5.

At the step ST5, a check is made as to whether the user has another password logic already registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 23.

If the step ST5 finds that another password logic is already in place in the identification-check-data storage unit 23, at a step ST6, the identification-check-data control unit 24 displays a message indicating presence of an already registered password logic on the display unit 21, thereby informing the user that the password logic entered at the step ST3 is not registered. The procedure comes to an end after the step ST6.

If the step ST5 finds that the user has no

password logic registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 23, at a step ST7, the identification-check-data control unit 24 stores the password logic entered at the step ST3 together with a user ID of the user as a pair in the identification-check-data storage unit 23. Then, the procedure comes to an end.

In this manner, the identification-check-data control unit 24 registers a user-defined password logic in the identification-check-data storage unit 23 when a user issues a request for password-logic registration.

Fig.6 is a flowchart of a process of updating a password logic performed by the identification-check-data control unit 24.

At a step ST1, upon a request for updating a password logic, the identification-check-data control unit 24 displays a password-logic-registration window on the display unit 21 as shown in Fig.8.

At a step ST2, a user enters a user ID in the password-logic-registration window.

At a step ST3, the user enters an old password logic in the password-logic-registration window.

At a step ST4, a check is made as to whether the user operates an OK button (i.e., a button for entering the old password logic). If the OK button is operated, the procedure goes to a step ST5.

At the step ST5, an old password logic registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 23 is obtained from the identification-check-data storage unit 23.

At a step ST6, a check is made as to whether the old password logic entered at the step ST3 matches the old password logic obtained at the step ST5. If there is no match, it is ascertained that the user does not know the correct password logic, so that the procedure ends without authorizing the updating of

password logic.

If the step ST6 finds that the two password logics match, the procedure goes to a step ST7, where the user enters a new password logic.

At a step ST8, a check is made as to whether the user operates an END button (i.e., a button for finishing a registration process). If a CANCEL button is operated, the procedure comes to an end. If the END button is operated, the procedure goes to a step ST9.

At the step ST9, the identification-check-data control unit 24 updates the old password logic with the new password logic in the identification-check-data storage unit 23. The procedure then comes to an end.

In this manner, the identification-check-data control unit 24 updates a password logic stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 23 upon a user request for updating a password logic only if the user knows the old password logic stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 23.

According to the flowcharts of Figs.5 and 6, the identification-check-data storage unit 23 registers paired user IDs and password logics (or pin numbers) in the identification-check-data storage unit 23.

Figs.7A and 7B is a flowchart of a process of checking user identification performed by the identification-check unit 25.

At a step ST1, upon a user request for identification check, the identification-check unit 25 generates a four-digit random number as represented by ABCD.

At a step ST2, the identification-check unit 25 displays a password-input window on the display unit 21, and presents the generated random number in the window. If a random number "4361" is generated, for example, this number is presented to a user. Fig.9 is an illustrative drawing showing an example of the

password input window.

At a step ST3, the user enters a user ID and a password.

The password entered by the user is calculated by applying the password logic registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 23 to the digits A, B, C, and D of the random number generated by the identification-check unit 25. If a random number "4361" is generated by the identification-check unit 25, and if the user has a registered password logic "A+B+C+D", the user calculates "4+3+6+1" to obtain a password "14". The user then enters the obtained password in the password-input window.

If a password logic has a division operation that has "0" as its denominator, the identification-check unit 25 substitutes "0" for the result of the division operation. The user has to follow this rule to obtain a password. Further, if a password logic has a division operation that produces a remainder, the identification-check unit 25 discards digits below a decimal point. The user has to obey this rule when obtaining a password. Moreover, the identification-check unit 25 obtains an absolute value of a result of the password logic operation when the result of the password logic operation becomes negative. The user needs to respect this rule as well. The rules described above are merely an example, and other rules may be set forth when appropriate.

When the user has a pin code registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 23, the user enters the pin code as a password in the password-input window.

At a step ST4, a check is made as to whether the user ID entered at the step ST3 is found as a registered user ID in the identification-check-data storage unit 23.

If the step ST4 finds that the user ID is a

registered user ID, at a step ST5, a password logic registered for the user is obtained by referring to the identification-check-data storage unit 23.

At a step ST6, the random number generated at the step ST1 is broken down into four separate digits A, B, C, and D.

At a step ST7, the four digits are inserted into the password logic obtained at the step ST5 to produce a value corresponding to the password entered by the user.

In so doing, the identification-check unit 25 substitutes "0" for a result of a division operation if the division operation in the password logic has "0" as its denominator, and discards digits below a decimal point if a division operation in the password logic produces a remainder. Moreover, the identification-check unit 25 obtains an absolute value of a result of the password logic operation when the result of the password logic operation becomes negative, and outputs a pin code if the pin code is defined in place of a password logic.

At a step ST8, the password entered at the step ST3 is compared with the value obtained at the step ST7.

At a step ST9, a check is made as to whether the comparison indicates a match. If there is a match, the procedure goes to a step ST10, where the identification-check unit 25 outputs a signal (data) indicative of authorization of the user. In response, a program for predetermined business processing starts operation thereof. This ends the procedure.

If the step ST4 finds that the entered user ID is not a registered user ID, or if the step ST9 finds that the entered password does not match the obtained value, the procedure goes to a step ST11 of Fig.7B.

At the step ST11, a check is made as to

whether the user-identification check has been attempted a predetermined number of times. If the predetermined number of attempts have been made, the procedure goes to a step ST12, where the identification-check unit 25 displays a message indicating a wrong user identification on the display unit 21. This ends the procedure.

If the step ST11 finds that the user-identification check has not been attempted the predetermined number of times, the procedure goes to a step ST13, where a count of the number of attempts is increased by one. Then, the procedure goes back to the step ST1 to repeat the user-identification-check process as described above.

In this manner, the identification-check unit 25, upon a user request for identification check, obtains a value by using a user-defined password logic registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 23 and a random number, and compares the obtained value with a password that is entered by the user in response to the random number presented to the user, thereby making a proper user-identification check.

Use of such user-identification check insures high-level security even if someone surreptitiously picks up a number that the user enters. The user needs to remember only his/her password logic and nothing else. Likewise, the system needs to store only a password logic for each user. High-level security is thus achieved without imposing excessive burden on the user or on the system.

Further, the embodiment described above is applicable to a case where conventional pin codes are used as an option. In this manner, this embodiment can cope with various user preferences including use of a pin code if the user so wishes.

Fig.10 is an illustrative drawing of a user-identification check system according to another

embodiment of the present invention.

In this embodiment, the present invention is applied to a distribution-management system operating in a network environment.

The distribution-management system of Fig.10 includes an identification-check server 30, a plurality of distribution terminals 40, and a network 50 connecting between the identification-check server 30 and the distribution terminals 40. The identification-check server 30 attends to user-identification check. The distribution terminals 40 are provided at the end of distributors.

The identification-check server 30 includes an identification-check-data storage unit 31, an identification-check-data control unit 32, and an identification-check unit 33. The identification-check-data storage unit 31 stores data in the same format as the identification-check-data storage unit 23 of Fig.3. The identification-check-data control unit 32 attends to registration and updating of the identification-check data stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 31, and may be implemented as a software program installed from a floppy disk, CD-ROM, or the like, or installed from a remote storage via a communication line. The identification-check unit 33 performs a user-identification-check process by referring to the identification-check data stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 31, and may be implemented as a software program installed from a floppy disk, CD-ROM, or the like, or installed from a remote storage via a communication line.

A distribution terminal 40 includes a display unit 41 such as a CRT, an input unit 42 such as a keyboard and a mouse, and an interaction unit 43. The interaction unit 43 provides a user with a means to interact with the system, and may be implemented as a

software program installed from a floppy disk, CD-ROM, or the like, or installed from a remote storage via a communication line.

Fig.11 is a flowchart of a process of registering a password logic performed by the interaction unit 43.

At a step ST1, upon a request for registration of a password logic, the interaction unit 43 of the distribution terminal 40 displays a password-logic-registration window on the display unit 41 as shown in Fig.8.

At a step ST2, a user enters a user ID in the password-logic-registration window.

At a step ST3, a user enters a user-defined password logic in the password-logic-registration window. This password logic is of the same type as that used in the previous embodiment.

At a step ST4, a check is made as to whether the user operates an END button (i.e., a button for activating a registration process). If a CANCEL button is operated, the procedure comes to an end. If the END button is operated, the procedure goes to a step ST5.

At the step ST5, the interaction unit 43 sends the entered user ID and password logic to the identification-check-data control unit 32 of the identification-check server 30.

As will be described later in detail, the identification-check-data control unit 32 returns a message in response to the transmission of the user ID and the password logic, and the message indicates whether registration of the password logic is completed.

At a step ST6, a check is made as to whether this return message is received from the identification-check-data control unit 32. When the message is received, the procedure goes to a step ST7.

At the step ST7, a check is made as to

whether the message indicates that registration of the password logic is completed.

If the step ST7 finds that registration of the password logic is completed, the procedure comes to an end. If the step ST7 finds that registration is not completed, at a step ST8, the interaction unit 43 presents a message on the display unit 41 to indicate that registration of the password logic has failed. Then, the procedure comes to an end.

Fig.12 is a flowchart of a process of registering a password logic performed by the identification-check-data control unit 32.

At a step ST1, upon a request by the interaction unit 43 to register a password logic, the identification-check-data control unit 32 of the identification-check server 30 receives the user ID and the password logic from the interaction unit 43.

At a step ST2, a check is made as to whether a user indicated by the user ID has a password logic already registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 31. If there is an already registered password logic, the procedure goes to a step ST3, where the identification-check-data control unit 32 sends a message to the interaction unit 43 to indicate that registration of the password logic cannot be completed. Then, the procedure comes to an end.

If the step ST2 finds that the user indicated by the user ID does not have a password logic already registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 31, the procedure goes to a step ST4.

At the step ST4, the received password logic and the received user ID are registered as a pair in the identification-check-data storage unit 31.

At a step ST5, the identification-check-data control unit 32 sends a message indicative of completion of the registration to the interaction unit 43.

In this manner, the interaction unit 43 and the identification-check-data control unit 32 interact with each other via the network 50 when a user requests registration of a password logic, and collaboratively register the user-defined password logic in the identification-check-data storage unit 31.

Figs.13A and 13B is a flowchart of a process of updating a password logic performed by the interaction unit 43.

At a step ST1, upon a user request for updating a password logic, the interaction unit 43 of the distribution terminal 40 displays a password-logic-registration window on the display unit 41 as shown in Fig.8.

At a step ST2, the user enters a user ID in the password-logic-registration window.

At a step ST3, the user enters an old password logic in the password-logic-registration window.

At a step ST4, a check is made as to whether the user operates an OK button (i.e., a button for entering the old password logic). If the OK button is operated, the procedure goes to a step ST5.

At the step ST5, the interaction unit 43 sends the entered user ID and the entered old password logic to the identification-check-data control unit 32.

As will be described later in detail, the identification-check-data control unit 32 returns a message in response to the transmission of the user ID and the old password logic, and the message indicates whether updating of the password logic is acceptable.

At a step ST6, a check is made as to whether this return message is received from the identification-check-data control unit 32. When the message is received, the procedure goes to a step ST7.

At the step ST7, a check is made as to whether the message indicates that updating of the

password logic is acceptable.

If the step ST7 finds that updating of the password logic is unacceptable, the procedure goes to a step ST8, where a message is presented on the display unit 41 to indicate that updating of the password logic is not acceptable. Then, the procedure comes to an end.

If the step ST7 finds that updating of the password logic is acceptable, the procedure goes to a step ST9, where the user enters a new password logic for the updating purpose.

At a step ST10 of Fig.13B, a check is made as to whether the user operates an END button (i.e., a button for activating a registration process). If a CANCEL button is operated, the procedure comes to an end. If the END button is operated, the procedure goes to a step ST11.

At the step ST11, the interaction unit 43 sends the user ID and the new password logic entered at the step ST9 to the identification-check-data control unit 32.

As will be described later in detail, the identification-check-data control unit 32 returns a message in response to the transmission of the user ID and the new password logic, and the message indicates whether registration of the new password logic is completed.

At a step ST12, a check is made as to whether this return message is received from the identification-check-data control unit 32. When the message is returned, the procedure comes to an end.

Figs.14A and 14B is a flowchart of a process of updating a password logic performed by the identification-check-data control unit 32.

At a step ST1, upon a request by the interaction unit 43 to update a password logic, the identification-check-data control unit 32 of the

identification-check server 30 receives the user ID and the old password logic from the interaction unit 43.

At a step ST2, the identification-check-data control unit 32 refers to the identification-check-data storage unit 31 to obtain a password logic corresponding to the received user ID.

At a step ST3, a check is made as to whether the password logic obtained at the step ST2 matches the password logic received at the step ST1. If there is no match, the procedure goes to a step ST4, where a message indicative of denial of the updating request is send to the interaction unit 43. The procedure comes to an end.

If the step ST3 finds that the two password logics match, the procedure goes to a step ST5, where a message indicative of acceptance of the updating request is sent to the interaction unit 43.

As previously described, the interaction unit 43 responds to the message indicative of acceptance of the updating request sent from the identification-check-data control unit 32 by sending the user ID and a new password logic.

At a step ST6, a check is made as to whether the user ID and a new password logic are received from the interaction unit 43. When they are received, the procedure goes to a step ST7 of Fig.14B.

At the step ST7 of Fig.14B, the identification-check-data control unit 32 updates the old password logic indicated by the received user ID with the received new password logic in the identification-check-data storage unit 31.

At a step ST8, the identification-check-data control unit 32 sends a message indicating completion of a password-logic updating process to the interaction unit 43. This ends the procedure.

In this manner, the interaction unit 43 and the identification-check-data control unit 32 interact

with each other via the network 50 when a user requests updating of a password logic, and collaboratively update the password logic in the identification-check-data storage unit 31 only if the user knows the old password logic.

Based on the procedures shown as flowcharts in Fig.11 through Figs.14A and 14B, user IDs and password logics (or pin codes) associated with the user IDs are stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 31 of the identification-check server 30.

Based on this identification-check data stored in the identification-check-data storage unit 31, the interaction unit 43 and the identification-check unit 33 interact with each other via the network 50 to perform a user-identification check when a user requests a check of user identification.

Fig.15 is a flowchart of a process of checking user identification performed by the interaction unit 43.

At a step ST1, upon a user request for identification check, the interaction unit 43 of the distribution terminal 40 generates a four-digit random number as represented by ABCD.

At a step ST2, the identification-check unit 25 displays a password-input window on the display unit 21 as shown in Fig.9, and presents the generated random number in the window. If a random number "4361" is generated, for example, this number is presented to a user.

As will be described later, the random number generated at this step does not have to be a four-digit random number, but can be comprised of only one digit, two digits, or three digits. By the same token, the random number may be comprised of a larger number of digits more that four.

At a step ST3, the user enters a user ID and a password.

The password entered by the user is calculated by applying the password logic registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 31 to the digits A, B, C, and D of the random number generated by the interaction unit 43. If a password logic has a division operation that has "0" as its denominator, the user obtains the password by substituting "0" for the result of the division operation. Further, if a password logic has a division operation that produces a remainder, the user obtains the password by discarding digits below a decimal point. Moreover, the user obtains the password by calculating an absolute value of a result of the password logic operation when the result of the password logic operation becomes negative. When the user has a pin code registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 31, the user enters the pin code as the password in the password-input window.

At a step ST4, the interaction unit 43 sends the random number generated at the step ST1 and the user ID and password entered at the step ST3 to the identification-check unit 33.

As will be described later in detail, the identification-check unit 33 returns a message in response to the transmission of the random number, the user ID, and the password, and the message indicates whether the user is authorized by entering the password.

At a step ST5, a check is made as to whether this return message is received from the identification-check unit 33. When the message is received, the procedure goes to a step ST6.

At the step ST6, a check is made as to whether the return message indicates that user authorization is completed.

If the step ST6 finds that the message received from the identification-check unit 33

indicates completion of user authorization, at a step ST7, the interaction unit 43 outputs a signal (data) indicative of authorization of the user. In response, a program for business processing starts operation thereof. This ends the procedure.

If the step ST6 finds that the message received from the identification-check unit 33 indicates denial of user authorization, the procedure goes to a step ST8.

At the step ST8, a check is made as to whether the user-identification check has been attempted a predetermined number of times. If the predetermined number of attempts have been made, the procedure goes to a step ST9, where the interaction unit 43 displays a message indicating a wrong user identification on the display unit 41. This ends the procedure.

If the step ST8 finds that the user-identification check has not been attempted the predetermined number of times, the procedure goes to a step ST10, where a count of the number of attempts is increased by one. Then, the procedure goes back to the step ST1 to repeat the user-identification-check process as described above.

Fig.16 is a flowchart of a process of checking user identification performed by the identification-check unit 33.

At a step ST1, upon a request by the interaction unit 43 to check user identification, the identification-check unit 33 of the identification-check server 30 receives the random number, the user ID, and the password from the interaction unit 43.

At a step ST2, a check is made as to whether the received user ID is found as a registered user ID in the identification-check-data storage unit 31.

If the step ST2 finds that the user ID is a registered user ID, at a step ST3, a password logic

corresponding to the user ID is obtained from the identification-check-data storage unit 31.

At a step ST4, the random number received at the step ST1 is broken down into four separate digits A, B, C, and D.

At a step ST5, the four digits are inserted into the password logic obtained at the step ST3 to produce a value corresponding to the password entered by the user.

In so doing, the identification-check unit 33 substitutes "0" for a result of a division operation if the division operation in the password logic has "0" as its denominator, and discards digits below a decimal point if a division operation in the password logic produces a remainder. Moreover, the identification-check unit 33 obtains an absolute value of a result of the password logic operation when the result of the password logic operation becomes negative, and outputs a pin code if the pin code is defined in place of a password logic.

At a step ST6, the password received at the step ST1 is compared with the value obtained at the step ST5.

At a step ST7, a check is made as to whether the comparison indicates a match. If there is a match, the procedure goes to a step ST8, where the identification-check unit 33 sends a message indicative of completion of user authorization to the interaction unit 43. This ends the procedure.

If the step ST2 finds that the received user ID is not registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 31, or if the step ST7 finds that the password does not match the obtained value, the procedure goes to a step ST9.

At the step ST9, the identification-check unit 33 sends a message indicating denial of user authorization to the interaction unit 43. This ends

the procedure.

In the manner as described in conjunction with Fig.15 and Fig.16, when a user requests a check of user identification, the interaction unit 43 and the identification-check unit 33 interact with each other via the network 50. Through the interaction, a value is obtained from a random number and a password logic registered in the identification-check-data control unit 32, and is compared with a password that is entered by the user in response to the random number presented to the user. This achieves a proper user-identification check.

Use of such user-identification check insures high-level security even if someone surreptitiously picks up a number that the user enters. The user needs to remember only his/her password logic and nothing else. Likewise, the system needs to store only a password logic for each user. High-level security is thus achieved without imposing excessive burden on the user or on the system.

The password logic, which is equivalent to a personal identification code, is not transmitted through the network 50, except when the password logic is registered in the identification-check-data storage unit 31. This decreases a chance of someone picking up the password logic from the network 50, thereby achieving high-level security.

In the procedures of Fig.15 and Fig.16, the interaction unit 43 generates a random number. Alternatively, the identification-check unit 33 may generate a random number, and send it to the interaction unit 43.

As described above, the present invention registers a user-defined password logic, and generates a random number to be presented to a user. The user enters a password (value) in response to the presented random number. Then, the system generates a value from

the random number and the user-defined password logic, and checks if the user-entered password matches the system-generated value, thereby checking a user identification.

According to this principle, the present invention may use a magnetic stripe card or an IC chard as a user-identification-check card, which record therein a user-defined password logic instead of a pin code.

A conventional user-identification-check card such as a magnet stripe card or an IC card records therein a user ID and a pin code. In contrast, the user-identification-check card according to the present invention records therein a user ID and a user-defined password logic.

Fig.17 is an illustrative drawing of a user-identificatin-check system utilizing a user-identification-check card according to the present invention.

As shown in the figure, an IC card 60 of the present invention includes a memory unit 600 and a random-number generation unit 601. The memory unit 600 stores therein a user ID and a user-defined password logic.

The IC card 60 is inserted into an IC-card reader 70 connected to the distribution terminal 40. The distribution terminal 40 includes a card-identification-check unit 44 for performing a user-identification check by using the password logic recorded in the IC card 60.

Figs.18A and 18B are a flowchart of a process performed by the card-identification-check unit 44 when checking user identification by use of a card. With reference to these figures, a check of user identification based on the IC card 60 will be described below.

At a step ST1, upon a request for user-

identification check with respect to the IC card 60, the card-identification-check unit 44 of the distribution terminal 40 reads a user ID and a password logic from the IC card 60.

At a step ST2, the card-identification-check unit 44 receives a random number that is generated by the random-number generation unit 601 of the IC card 60.

At a step ST3, the card-identification-check unit 44 displays a password-input window as shown in Fig.9, and presents the random number to the user. For example, a random number "4361" is generated and presented in the password-input window.

At a step ST4, the user enters a password in the password-input window.

The user calculates the password by applying the password logic recorded in the IC card 60 to the digits A, B, C, and D of the random number generated by the random-number generation unit 601. If a password logic has a division operation that has "0" as its denominator, the user obtains the password by substituting "0" for the result of the division operation. Further, if a password logic has a division operation that produces a remainder, the user obtains the password by discarding digits below a decimal point. Moreover, the user obtains the password by calculating an absolute value of a result of the password logic operation when the result of the password logic operation becomes negative. When the user has a pin code recorded in the IC card 60, the user enters the pin code as the password in the password-input window.

At a step ST5, the random number received at the step ST2 is broken down into four separate digits A, B, C, and D.

At a step ST6, the four digits are inserted into the password logic obtained at the step ST1 to

produce a value corresponding to the password entered by the user.

At a step ST7, the password entered at the step ST4 is compared with the value obtained at the step ST6.

At a step ST9, a check is made as to whether the comparison indicates a match. If there is a match, the procedure goes to a step ST9, where the card-identification-check unit 44 outputs a signal (data) indicative of authorization of the user. In response, a program for business processing starts operation thereof. This ends the procedure.

If the step ST8 finds that the entered password does not match the obtained value, the procedure goes to a step ST10.

At the step ST10, a check is made as to whether the user-identification check has been attempted a predetermined number of times. If the predetermined number of attempts have been made, the procedure goes to a step ST11 of Fig.18B, where the card-identification-check unit 44 displays a message indicating a wrong user identification on the display unit 41. This ends the procedure.

If the step ST10 finds that the user-identification check has not been attempted the predetermined number of times, the procedure goes to a step ST12, where a count of the number of attempts is increased by one. Then, the procedure goes back to the step ST1 to repeat the user-identification-check process as described above.

In this manner, the configuration described above utilizes a user-identification-check card such as a magnetic stripe card or an IC card which records therein a user-defined password logic. This configuration obtains a value from a random number and a user-defined password logic recorded in the user-identification-check card, and compares the obtained

value with a password that is entered by the user in response to the random number presented to the user. This achieves a proper user-identification check.

Such a configuration insures high-level security since secrecy of password logic is maintained even when someone surreptitiously picks up a number that the user enters.

In the configuration of Fig.17, the IC card 60 is equipped with the random-number generation unit 601. Alternatively, a mechanism for generating a random number may be provided in the card-identification-check unit 44.

In the embodiments described above, a password logic is applied to randomly generated digits. In addition to such digits, variables that can be uniquely determined by users or the system may be used as well. Such variables include date information, time information, etc.

For example, a variable ranging from 1 to 12 corresponding to respective months from January to December may be used, and/or a variable ranging from 0 to 24 corresponding to 0:00 hours to 24:00 hours may be employed. Such a variable may be incorporated in the password logic in addition to random digits. For example, a password logic may be represented as "(A - B) + n" where n represents the variable as described above.

As described hereinbefore, the prevent invention registers a user-defined password logic, and generates a random number to be presented to the user. The present invention then obtains a value from the random number and the user-defined password logic, and compares the obtained value with a value that is entered by the user in response to the random number presented to the user. This achieves a proper user-identification check. The present invention insures high-level security since secrecy of password logic is

maintained even when someone surreptitiously picks up a number entered by the user.

Further, the present invention makes it possible to avoid transmission of a password logic over a network. In a network environment, therefore, the present invention offers a higher level of security than a conventional system, which transmits a pin code over the network.

The user needs to remember only his/her password logic and nothing else. Likewise, the system needs to store only a password logic for each user. High-level security is thus achieved without imposing excessive burden on the user or on the system.

Further, the present invention may utilize a card provided for a user for the purpose of owner identification, and this card records therein a user-defined password logic rather than a pin code. This configuration achieves higher level security than does a conventional system.

Further, the present invention is not limited to these embodiments, but various variations and modifications may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention.

The present application is based on Japanese priority application No. 11-113058 filed on April 21, 1999, with the Japanese Patent Office, the entire contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS

1. A device for checking user identification, comprising:

a calculation unit which calculates a check value by applying a user-specific formula to at least one randomly generated number; and

a matching unit which checks if the check value matches a user-entered value that is entered by a user in response to said at least one randomly generated number presented to the user.

2. The device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said calculation unit outputs a fixed number as the check value if the user-specific formula consists of the fixed number.

3. The device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the user-specific formula includes a variable that is an indication of a time at which said calculation unit calculates the check value.

4. The device as claimed in claim 1, further comprising:

a control-data unit which stores therein user

IDs and user-specific formulas associated with respective user IDs;

a selection unit which selects the user-specific formula from said control-data unit in response to a user ID of said user; and

a random-number generating unit which generates said at least one randomly generated number.

5. The device as claimed in claim 4, further comprising a registration/updating unit which updates one of the user-specific formulas in the control data unit with a user-entered formula only if a user entering the user-entered formula proves knowledge of said one of the user-specific formulas by entering said one of the user-specific formulas.

6. A method of checking user identification, comprising the steps of:

calculating a check value by applying a user-specific formula to at least one randomly generated number; and

checking if the check value matches a user-entered value that is entered by a user in response to said at least one randomly generated number presented to the user.

7. The method as claimed in claim 6, wherein said step of calculating a check value outputs a fixed

number as the check value if the user-specific formula consists of the fixed number.

8. The method as claimed in claim 6, wherein the user-specific formula includes a variable that is an indication of a time at which said step of calculating a check value calculates the check value.

9. The method as claimed in claim 6, further comprising the steps of:

storing user IDs and user-specific formulas associated with respective user IDs in a data storage;

selecting the user-specific formula from the data storage in response to a user ID of said user; and

generating said at least one randomly generated number.

10. The method as claimed in claim 9, further comprising a step of updating one of the user-specific formulas in the data storage with a user-entered formula only if a user entering the user-entered formula proves knowledge of said one of the user-specific formulas by entering said one of the user-specific formulas.

11. A computer-readable medium having a program embodied therein for causing a computer to check user identification, said program comprising:

a calculation code unit which calculates a check value by applying a user-specific formula to at least one randomly generated number; and

a matching code unit which checks if the check value matches a user-entered value that is entered by a user in response to said at least one randomly generated number presented to the user.

12. The computer-readable medium as claimed in claim 11, wherein said calculation code unit outputs a fixed number as the check value if the user-specific formula consists of the fixed number.

13. The computer-readable medium as claimed in claim 11, wherein the user-specific formula includes a variable that is an indication of a time at which said calculation code unit calculates the check value.

14. The computer-readable medium as claimed in claim 11, wherein said program further comprises:

a registration/updating code unit which stores user IDs and user-specific formulas associated with respective user IDs in a data storage;

a selection code unit which selects the user-specific formula from said data storage in response to

a user ID of said user; and

a random-number generating code unit which generates said at least one randomly generated number.

15. The computer-readable medium as claimed in claim 14, wherein said registration/updating code unit updates one of the user-specific formulas in the data storage with a user-entered formula only if a user entering the user-entered formula proves knowledge of said one of the user-specific formulas by entering said one of the user-specific formulas.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A device for checking user identification includes a calculation unit which calculates a check value by applying a user-specific formula to a randomly generated number, and a matching unit which checks if the check value matches a user-entered value that is entered by a user in response to the randomly generated number presented to the user.

FIG. 1



FIG. 2


100
101
CPU
102
RAM
103
ROM
107
108
REMOTE STORAGE
104
MODEM
105
MEMORY DRIVE
AUXILIARY MEMORY
106
109

FIG. 3


20
INFORMATION PROCESSING DEVICE
21
DISPLAY UNIT
24
IDENTIFICATION-CHECK-DATA CONTROL UNIT
23
IDENTIFICATION-CHECK-DATA STORAGE UNIT
22
INPUT UNIT
25
IDENTIFICATION-CHECK UNIT

FIG. 4



USER ID	PASSWORD LOGIC
000001	10×A
000002	A×A
000003	A÷B
000004	5384
000005	A−B
000006	(B−A)+C
000007	((A−B)×5)÷2
⋮	⋮

FIG. 5


REQUEST FOR REGISTRATION OF PASSWORD LOGIC
START
DISPLAY PASSWORD-LOGIC-REGISTRATION WINDOW
ST 1
ENTER USER ID
ST 2
ENTER PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 3
NO
END BUTTON?
ST 4
YES
ST 5
NO
CHECK IF THERE IS ANOTER PASSWORD LOGIC ALREADY REGISTERED
YES
DISPLAY MESSAGE INDICATING PRESENCE OF ANOTHER PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 6
END
REGISTER PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 7
END

F I G. 6


REQUEST FOR UPDATING PASSWORD LOGIC
START
DISPLAY PASSWORD-LOGIC-REGISTRATION WINDOW
ST 1
ENTER USER ID
ST 2
ENTER OLD PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 3
NO
OK BUTTON?
ST 4
YES
OBTAIN OLD PASSWORD LOGIC REGISTERED IN FILE
ST 5
NO
MATCH?
ST 6
YES
ENTER NEW PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 7
NO
END BUTTON?
ST 8
YES
REGISTER NEW PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 9
END

FIG. 7A


START
2
GENERATE FOUR-DIGIT RANDOM NUMBER ABCD
ST 1
DISPLAY PASSWORD-INPUT WINDOW TO PRESENT RANDOM NUMBER
ST 2
ENTER USER ID AND PASSWORD
ST 3
NO
CHECK IF USER ID IS A REGISTERED USER ID?
ST 4
YES
OBTAIN PASSWORD LOGIC OF THE USER
ST 5
BREAK DOWN RANDOM NUMBER INTO A, B, C, AND D
ST 6
INSERT A, B, C, AND D INTO PASSWORD LOGIC TO PRODUCE A VALUE
ST 7
COMPARE PASSWORD WITH THE VALUE
ST 8
NO
MATCH?
ST 9
YES
OUTPUT SIGNAL INDICATIVE OF USER AUTHORIZATION
ST 10
END
1

FIG. 7B


1
ST11
NO
CHECK IF A PREDETERMINED NUMBER OF ATTEMPTS WERE MADE
YES
DISPLAY MESSAGE INDICATING WRONG USER IDENTIFICATION
ST12
END
INCREASE COUNT OF ATTEMPTS BY ONE
ST13
2

FIG. 8


PASSWORD LOGIC REGISTRATION
USER ID : 000006
PASSWORD LOGIC : (B−A) +C
O K
CANCEL
END

FIG. 9


ENTER PASSWORD
USER ID : 000001
PRESENTED NUMBER : 4361
PASSWORD : **
O K
CANCEL
END

FIG. 10


30
IDENTIFICATION-CHECK SERVER
32
IDENTIFICATION-CHECK-DATA CONTROL UNIT
31
IDENTIFICATION-CHECK-DATA STORAGE UNIT
33
IDENTIFICATION-CHECK UNIT
NETWORK 50
40
DISTRIBUTION TERMINAL
43
INTERACTION UNIT
41
42
40
DISTRIBUTION TERMINAL

F I G. 1 1


REQUEST FOR REGISTRATION OF PASSWORD LOGIC
START
DISPLAY PASSWORD-LOGIC-REGISTRATION WINDOW
ST 1
ENTER USER ID
ST 2
ENTER PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 3
NO
END BUTTON?
ST 4
YES
SEND USER ID AND PASSWORD LOGIC TO SERVER
ST 5
NO
RETURN MESSAGE?
ST 6
YES
NO
CHECK IF REGISTRATION IS COMPLETED?
ST 7
YES
END
DISPLAY MESSAGE INDICATING FAILURE OF REGISTRATION
ST 8
END
END

FIG. 12


REQUEST FOR REGISTRATION OF PASSWORD LOGIC
START
RECEIVE USER ID AND PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 1
ST 2
NO
CHECK IF THERE IS ANOTHER PASSWORD LOGIC REGISTERD IN FILE
YES
SEND MESSAGE INDICATING THAT REGISTRATION CANNOT BE COMPLETED
ST 3
END
REGISTER PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 4
SEND MESSAGE INDICATIVE OF COMPLETION OF REGISTRATION
ST 5
END

FIG. 13A


REQUEST FOR UPDATING PASSWORD LOGIC
START
DISPLAY PASSWORD-LOGIC-REGISTRATION WINDOW
ST 1
ENTER USER ID
ST 2
ENTER OLD PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 3
NO
OK BUTTON?
ST 4
YES
SEND USER IN AND PASSWORD LOGIC TO SERVER
ST 5
NO
RETURN MESSAGE?
ST 6
YES
YES
CHECK IF UPDATING IS ACCEPTABLE ?
ST 7
NO
DISPLAY MESSAGE INDICATIVE OF DENIAL OF UPDATE REQUEST
ST 8
END
ENTER NEW PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 9
1

FIG. 13B


1
NO
END BUTTON?
ST10
YES
SEND USER ID AND NEW PASSWORD LOGIC TO SERVER
ST11
NO
RETURN MESSAGE?
ST12
YES
END

FIG. 14A


REQUEST FOR UPDATING PASSWORD LOGIC
START
RECEIVE USER ID AND OLD PASSWORD LOGIC
ST 1
OBTAIN CORRESPONDING PASSWORD LOGIC FROM DATA FILE
ST 2
YES
CHECK IF TWO PASSWORD LOGICS MATCH?
ST 3
NO
SEND MESSAGE DENYING REQUEST FOR UPDATING
ST 4
END
SEND MESSAGE ACCEPTING REQUEST FOR UPDATING
ST 5
NO
CHECK IF NEW PASSWORD LOGIC IS RECEIVED ?
ST 6
YES
1

FIG. 14B


1
UPDATE PASSWORD LOGIC IN FILE
ST7
SEND MESSAGE INDICATING COMPLETION OF UPDATING PROCESS
ST8
END

FIG. 15


START
GENERATE FOUR-DIGIT RANDOM NUMBER ABCD
ST 1
DISPLAY PASSWORD-INPUT WINDOW TO PRESENT RANDOM NUMBER
ST 2
ENTER USER ID AND PASSWORD
ST 3
SEND RANDOM NUMBER, USER ID, AND PASSWORD
ST 4
NO
RETURN MESSAGE?
ST 5
YES
NO
AUTHORIZATION COMPLETED ?
ST 6
YES
LET APPROPRIATE PROCESSING START
ST 7
END
ST 8
NO
CHECK IF A PREDETERMINED NUMBER OF ATTEMPTS WERE MADE
YES
DISPLAY MESSAGE INDICATING WRONG USER IDENTIFICATION
ST 9
END
INCREASE COUNT OF ATTEMPTS BY ONE
ST 10

FIG. 16


START
RECEIVE USER ID, PASSWORD, AND RANDOM NUMBER
ST 1
NO
CHECK IF USER ID IS A REGISTERED USER ID?
ST 2
YES
OBTAIN PASSWORD LOGIC OF THE USER
ST 3
BREAK DOWN RANDOM NUMBER INTO A, B, C, AND D
ST 4
INSERT A, B, C AND D INTO PASSWORD LOGIC TO PRODUCE A VALUE
ST 5
COMPARE PASSWORD WITH THE VALUE
ST 6
NO
MATCH?
ST 7
YES
SEND MESSAGE INDICATING COMPLETION OF USER AUTHORIZATION
ST 8
END
SEND MESSAGE INDICATING USER-AUTHORIZATION ERROR
ST 9
END

FIG. 17


60
IC CARD
601
RANDOM-NUMBER GENERATION UNIT
600
USER ID
PASSWORD LOGIC
40
DISTRIBUTION TERMINAL
44
CARD-IDENTIFICATION-CHECK UNIT
41
DISPLAY UNIT
42
INPUT UNIT
60
IC CARD
70
IC-CARD READER

FIG. 18A


START
READ USER ID AND PASSWORD LOGIC FROM IC CARD
ST 1
3
RECEIVE RANDOM NUMBER GENERATED BY IC CARD
ST 2
DISPLAY PASSWORD-INPUT WINDOW AND PRESENT RANDOM NUMBER
ST 3
ENTER PASSWORD
ST 4
BREAK DOWN RANDOM NUMBER INTO A, B, C, AND D
ST 5
INSERT A, B, C, AND D INTO PASSWORD LOGIC TO PRODUCE A VALUE
ST 6
COMPARE PASSWORD WITH THE VALUE
ST 7
NO
MATCH?
ST 8
YES
OUTPUT SIGNAL INDICATIVE OF USER AUTHORIZATION
ST 9
END
NO
CHECK IF A PREDETERMINED NUMBER OF ATTEMPTS WERE MADE
ST 10
YES
2
1

FIG. 18B


2
1
DISPLAY MESSAGE INDICATING WRONG USER IDENTIFICATION
ST11
END
INCREASE COUNT OF ATTEMPTS BY ONE
ST12
3

PTO/SB/106 (8-96)
Approved for use through 9/30/98. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Declaration and Power of Attorney For Patent Application

特許出願宣言書及び委任状

Japanese Language Declaration

日本語宣言書

下記の氏名の発明者として、私は以下の通り宣言します。

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

私の住所、私書箱、国籍は下記の私の氏名の後に記載された通りです。

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated next to my name.

下記の名称の発明に関して請求範囲に記載され、特許出願している発明内容について、私が最初かつ唯一の発明者（下記の氏名が一つの場合）もしくは最初かつ共同発明者であると（下記の名称が複数の場合）信じています。

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

DEVICE AND METHOD FOR USER IDENTIFICATION CHECK BASED ON USER-SPECIFIC FORMULA

上記発明の明細書（下記の欄でx印がついていない場合は、本書に添付）は、

the specification of which is attached hereto unless the following box is checked:

☐ _月_日に提出され、米国出願番号または特許協定条約国際出願番号を_____とし、（該当する場合）_____に訂正されました。

☐ was filed on _____ as United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number _____ and was amended on _____ (if applicable).

私は、特許請求範囲を含む上記訂正後の明細書を検討し、内容を理解していることをここに表明します。

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

私は、連邦規則法典第37編第1条56項に定義されるとおり、特許資格の有無について重要な情報を開示する義務があることを認めます。

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

PTO/SB/106 (8-96)
Approved for use through 9/30/98. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Japanese Language Declaration
(日本語宣言書)

私は、米国法典第３５編１１９条(a)-(d)項又は３６５条(b)項に基き下記の、米国以外の国の少なくとも一ヵ国を指定している特許協力条約３６５(a)項に基ずく国際出願、又は外国での特許出願もしくは発明者証の出願についての外国優先権をここに主張するとともに、優先権を主張している、本出願の前に出願された特許または発明者証の外国出願を以下に、枠内をマークすることで、示しています。

I hereby claim foreign priority under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119 (a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Prior Foreign Application(s)
外国での先行出願

(Number) (番号)	(Country) (国名)	(Day/Month/Year Filed) (出願年月日)	Priority Not Claimed 優先権主張なし
Pat. Appln. No.11-113058	Japan	21/April/1999	☐
			☐

私は、第３５編米国法典１１９条(e)項に基いて下記の米国特許出願規定に記載された権利をここに主張いたします。

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

(Application No.) (出願番号)	(Filing Date) (出願日)	(Application No.) (出願番号)	(Filing Date) (出願日)

私は、下記の米国法典第３５編１２０条に基いて下記の米国特許出願に記載された権利、又は米国を指定している特許協力条約３６５条(c)に基ずく権利をここに主張します。また、本出願の各請求範囲の内容が米国法典第３５編１１２条第１項又は特許協力条約で規定された方法で先行する米国特許出願に開示されていない限り、その先行米国出願書提出日以降で本出願書の日本国内または特許協力条約国際提出日までの期間中に入手された、連邦規則法典第３７編１条５６項で定義された特許資格の有無に関する重要な情報について開示義務があることを認識しています。

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s), or 365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of application.

(Application No.) (出願番号)	(Filing Date) (出願日)	(Status: Patented, Pending, Abandoned) (現況：特許許可済、係属中、放棄済)

(Application No.) (出願番号)	(Filing Date) (出願日)	(Status: Patented, Pending, Abandoned) (現況：特許許可済、係属中、放棄済)

私は、私自身の知識に基づいて本宣言書中で私が行なう表明が真実であり、かつ私の入手した情報と私の信じるところに基ずく表明が全て真実であると信じていること、さらに故意になされた虚偽の表明及びそれと同等の行為は米国法典第１８編第１００１条に基ずき、罰金または両禁、もしくはその両方により処罰されること、そしてそのような故意による虚偽の声明を行なえば、出願した、又は与に許可された特許の有効性が失われることを認識し、よってここに下記のごとく宣誓を致します。

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

PTO/SB/106 (8-96)
Approved for use through 9/30/98. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Japanese Language Declaration
(日本語宣言書)

委任状： 私は下記の発明者として、本出願に関する一切の手続きを米特許商標局に対して遂行する弁理士または代理人として、下記の者を指名いたします。（弁護士、または代理人氏名及び登録番号を明記のこと）

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith *(list name and registration number)*

James D. Halsey, Jr., 22,729; Harry John Staas, 22,010; David M. Pitcher, 25,908; John C. Garvey, 28,607; J. Randall Beckers, 30,358; William F. Herbert, 31,024; Richard A. Gollhofer, 31,106; Mark J. Henry, 36,162; Gene M. Garner II, 34,172; Michael D. Stein, 37,240; Paul I. Kravetz, 35,230; Gerald P. Joyce, III, 37,648; Todd E. Marlette, 35,269; Harlan B. Williams, Jr., 34,756; George N. Stevens, 36,938; Michael C. Soldner, P-41,455 and William M. Schertler, 35,348 (agent)

書類送付先

Send Correspondence to:

STAAS & HALSEY
700 Eleventh Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20001

直接電話連絡先： (名前及び電話番号)

Direct Telephone Calls to: *(name and telephone number)*

STAAS & HALSEY
(202) 434-1500

唯一または第一発明者名	Full name of sole or first inventor Tsuneo Sato
発明者の署名 日付	Inventor's signature Date Tsuneo Sato October 13, 1999
住所	Residence Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa, Japan
国籍	Citizenship Japan
私書箱	Post Office Address c/o FUJITSU LIMITED,
	1-1, Kamikodanaka 4-chome, Nakahara-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa, 211-8588 Japan
第二共同発明者	Full name of second joint inventor, if any Kiyoshi Kotegawa
第二共同発明者 日付	Second inventor's signature Date Kiyoshi Kotegawa October 13, 1999
住所	Residence Oita-shi, Oita, Japan
国籍	Citizenship Japan
私書箱	Post Office Address c/o FUJITSU OITA SOFTWARE LABORATORIES
	LIMITED, 17-58, Higashikasugamachi, Oita-shi, Oita, 870-8551 Japan

（第三以降の共同発明者についても同様に記載し、署名をすること）

(Supply similar information and signature for third and subsequent joint inventors.)